Volume 5, Number 35

http://isedj.org/5/35/

December 10, 2007

In this issue:

The Development of a Comprehensive Assessment Plan: One Campus' Experience

Bruce A. White Quinnipiac University Hamden, CT 06518 USA Richard V. McCarthy Quinnipiac University Hamden, CT 06518 USA

Abstract: "For society to work we must be accountable for what we do and what we say." (Dowdell, 2007). Assessment continues to grow in importance. Assessment, accountability and feedback are the cornerstones of the concept of 'constant and continuous improvement'. As educators, we strive to deliver quality instruction that fits within a unified curriculum. We present the development and implementation experience of a comprehensive Information Systems assessment program. The use of the CCER IS Assessment Test and other assessment processes are discussed.

Keywords: assessment, assessment plan, curriculum, accreditation

Recommended Citation: White and McCarthy (2007). The Development of a Comprehensive Assessment Plan: One Campus' Experience. *Information Systems Education Journal*, 5 (35). http://isedj.org/5/35/. ISSN: 1545-679X. (Also appears in *The Proceedings of ISECON 2007:* §3524. ISSN: 1542-7382.)

This issue is on the Internet at http://isedj.org/5/35/

The Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ) is a peer-reviewed academic journal published by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP, Chicago, Illinois). • ISSN: 1545-679X. • First issue: 8 Sep 2003. • Title: Information Systems Education Journal. Variants: IS Education Journal; ISEDJ. • Physical format: online. • Publishing frequency: irregular; as each article is approved, it is published immediately and constitutes a complete separate issue of the current volume. • Single issue price: free. • Subscription address: subscribe@isedj.org. • Subscription price: free. • Electronic access: http://isedj.org/ • Contact person: Don Colton (editor@isedj.org)

2007 AITP Education Special Interest Group Board of Directors

Paul M. Leidig	Don (Colton	Robert B. Sweeney
Grand Valley State Un	iv Brigham Youn	g Univ Hawaii	Univ South Alabama
Past President 2005-20	06 EDSIG Pre	sident 2007	Vice President 2007
Wendy Ceccucci	Ronald	I. Frank	Kenneth A. Grant
Quinnipiac University	Pace Ur	niversity	Ryerson University
Member Services 2007	Director 2	2007-2008	Treasurer 2007
Albert L. Harris	Valerie J. Harvey	Thomas N. Janicki	Kathleen M. Kelm
Appalachian State Univ	Robert Morris Univ	Univ NC Wilmington	Edgewood College
JISE Editor	Chair ISECON 2007 Director 2006		Director 2007-2008
Alan R. Peslak	Patricia	Sendall	Gary Ury
Penn State	Merrimack College		NW Missouri St
Director 2007-2008	Secreta	ry 2007	Director 2006-2007

Information Systems Education Journal Editors

Don Colton
Brigham Young University Hawaii
Editor

Thomas N. Janicki Univ of North Carolina Wilmington Associate Editor

This paper was selected for inclusion in the journal as part of the ISECON 2007 best papers group. Best papers received preliminary reviews by three or more peers placing them in the top 30% of papers submitted and final reviews placing them in the top 15% by three or more former best papers authors who did not submit a paper in 2007.

EDSIG activities include the publication of ISEDJ, the organization and execution of the annual ISECON conference held each fall, the publication of the Journal of Information Systems Education (JISE), and the designation and honoring of an IS Educator of the Year. • The Foundation for Information Technology Education has been the key sponsor of ISECON over the years. • The Association for Information Technology Professionals (AITP) provides the corporate umbrella under which EDSIG operates.

© Copyright 2007 EDSIG. In the spirit of academic freedom, permission is granted to make and distribute unlimited copies of this issue in its PDF or printed form, so long as the entire document is presented, and it is not modified in any substantial way.

The Development of a Comprehensive Assessment Plan: One Campus' Experience

Bruce White Bruce.white@quinnipiac.edu

Richard McCarthy Richard.mccarthy@quinnipiac.edu

ABSTRACT

"For society to work [...] we must be accountable for what we do and what we say." (Dowdell, 2007). Assessment continues to grow in importance. Assessment, accountability and feedback are the cornerstones of the concept of 'constant and continuous improvement'. As educators, we strive to deliver quality instruction that fits within a unified curriculum. We present the development and implementation experience of a comprehensive Information Systems assessment program. The use of the CCER IS Assessment Test and other assessment processes are discussed.

Keywords: Assessment, Assessment Plan, Curriculum, Accreditation

1. INTRODUCTION

Grant Wiggins stated: "no person can succeed unless he or she is held accountable". Ken Blanchard stated "feedback is the breakfast of champions". To be accountable, we must obtain feedback and assess our programs, objectives and individual teaching abilities. education, our stakeholders demanding more information and accountability. Such questions as "Are we teaching what we say we are?"; "Are students learning?"; "How can we be more effective in our instruction" need to be answered. There are many methods that can be used to assess a program.

Assessment should answer the following questions (Acharya, 2003):

- 1) What do we want students to learn?
- 2) Why do we want them to learn it?
- 3) How can we help them to learn it?
- 4) How do we know what they have learned? (Stemler, Chamblin, 2006)

There are many possible methods for assessment. Some of the most common types include:

 Archival Records – where past student records are analyzed

- Behavioral Observations where student activities, and processes are seen
- Exit Interviews where graduating seniors are interviewed and asked for feedback on their academic experiences
- External Examiner where an expert (or experts) is invited to campus to review activities and academic (such examinations may be part of accreditation visits)
- Focus Groups selected students gather to give feedback and opinions
- Locally Developed Exams tests developed by faculty to measure learning
- Oral Exams interactive questions to determine knowledge and skills
- Performance Appraisal combining scores from students across the curriculum
- Portfolios students document their accomplishments (frequently electronically)
- Simulations students compete in real life scenarios to solve problems
- Surveys and Questionnaires getting feedback using both open and close ended questions
- Standardized Tests such as the Center for Computing Education Research's IS

Assessment test (White, McCarthy, 2006)

Each of these assessment processes has value and merit. Some may be more appropriate for assessing one particular aspect of learning. Each require time and effort to implement, so many programs must pick and choose which assessment method(s) are most appropriate to meet their needs.

2. LEARNING GOALS

To develop an assessment plan for an Information Systems academic department, one must determine the learning goals. In short, 'what do we want our students to learn'? As a general foundation, most American programs in Information Systems are built on the IS2002 model curriculum (currently) – which is the successor to previous such curriculum models. IS2002 (see http://is2002.org) has a wealth of reports detailing the suggested learning goals and skills.

Using the IS2002 curriculum model as a foundation, each program can develop learning goals that they wish to emphasize. Such learning goals can vary from program to program. For example, if an information systems academic program emphasizes the integration business of information technology their learning objectives may differ from a program that emphasizes the application development process. Other aspects of learning goals might depend upon the program's organization and structure. For example, information systems academic programs within a school of business might have different requirements and structure as compared to programs within a school of computing.

3. QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY CASE STUDY: THE DEVELOPMENT OF LEARNING 3. OBJECTIVES IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

The Information Systems faculty members at Quinnipiac University, a medium sized university in southern Connecticut initiated their learning objective development by first developing the following program mission statement: "The Information Systems Management program maintains a focus of enabling students to manage and work with

information systems which meet business or organization requirements effectively; this means that students must understand the need for fault tolerant systems which are within the requirements of budgetary constraints, incorporate ethical and legal considerations, and meet specific enterprise goals, including quality requirements for customer service."

After formulating this mission statement, the faculty developed six specific learning objectives. In developing these specific learning goals, the faculty had to consider where the program had been, where it was going and what they saw as the primary areas of focus.

Specifically, the learning objectives are:

- Analysis and design of information systems which meet enterprise needs.
- 2) Use and experience with multiple design methodologies.
- 3) Experience in the use of multiple programming languages.
- 4) Development of hardware, software and networking skills.
- 5) Understanding of data management.
- 6) Understand the role of IS in Organizations.

These learning objectives were determined by the Information Systems faculty. In addition to following the IS2002 Model Curriculum guidelines, the faculty solicited input from alumni, advisory board members, and companies that hire there is graduates and interns.

In their deliberations, the faculty wanted to give graduates a solid exposure to these learning concepts. After formulating the learning objectives for the program, the faculty set about to find appropriate assessment processes.

Assessment processes

For each learning objective, we examined each of the core and elective courses and determined if there was no coverage, light coverage, moderate or extensive coverage of that learning objective in that course. For example, in ISM 110 Object Oriented Programming course, we determined that it would have moderate coverage of basic analysis and design techniques (learning objective 1), but extensive coverage of

programming languages (learning objective 3) and light coverage of data management (objective 5). (See appendix B for program coverage matrix.) The matrix was developed to ensure that each of the objectives were being met and that each objectives was integrated throughout the program (Not necessarily in every course). Once the matrix of learning objectives and courses was created, the faculty faced the next issue of how to evaluate the learning objective through the curriculum.

Since the Information Systems curriculum at Quinnipiac University is based on the IS2002 Model Curriculum (Gorgone, et. al, 2002), the faculty first looked at the IS assessment test based on the IS2002 curriculum and developed and promoted by the Center for Computing Education Research, a Division of Institute for Certification of Computer Professionals Educational Foundation. this test lines up very closely with the IS2002 curriculum it seemed like an excellent match. (See Appendix A for the IS2002 Body of Knowledge areas). CCER IS Assessment test was first developed by a group of information systems professors representing institutions that met at the University of South Alabama in February 2003. (McKell, et. al, 2006). It is significant to note that Dr. Herbert (Bart) Longenecker and Dr. David Feinstein from the University of South Alabama were both involved in the development of the IS model curriculum (for many years) and also with the CCER IS assessment test. (Aside: Both Dr. Longenecker and Dr. Feinstein have been recognized as IS Educators of the Year by the ISECON / EDSIG organization). Thus a strong overlap exists between the IS2002 model curriculum and the CCER IS "The Assessment test. assessment examination serves [..] to provide institutional feedback on programmatic preparation of graduate consistent with the IS 2002 Model Curriculum." (McKell, et. al. 2006)

For the objective "Analysis and Design of Information Systems which meet enterprise needs", the faculty are using the organizational systems development and project management sub-scores from the CCER IS Assessment Test (3.1.1 Strategic Utilization; 3.1.2 IS Planning; 3.1.3 IT and

Organizational Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5 Decision making; 3.1.6 Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; Leading; Team 3.2.2 3.2.1 resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate live cycle scheduling; 3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project Scheduling and Tracking). The CCER IS Assessment test gives feedback on each of these areas and the IS faculty felt that such a national standardized test would be beneficial to assessing this area. In addition, the faculty added watching external input from conferences, advisory board, from changes in the model curriculum and other sources.

The second program objective "Use and multiple experience with design methodologies" utilized the CCER IS Assessment test (3.1.1 Strategic Utilization; IS Planning; 3.1.3 3.1.2 ΙT Organizational Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5 Decision making; 3.1.6 Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; Leading; 3.2.2 3.2.1 Team resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate live cycle scheduling; 3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project Scheduling and Tracking).

The third program objective is: "Experience in the use of multiple programming languages". Again, the IS faculty choose the IS Assessment test with a focus on these subsections: (3.1.1 Strategic Utilization; Planning; 3.1.2 IS 3.1.3 IT and Organizational Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5 Decision making; 3.1.6 Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; 3.2.1 Team Leading; 3.2.2 Monitor resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate live cycle scheduling; 3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project Scheduling and Tracking

The fourth program objective is: "Development of hardware, software and networking skills". From the IS Assessment test, the sub-skills are: 1.4.1 Computer System Hardware; 1.4.2 Networking and Telecommunications; 1.4.3 Operating Systems Management; 1.4.4 Computer Systems Software; 1.4.5 LAN/WAN; 1.4.6 Systems Configuration.

The fifth program objective is: "Understanding of data management".

Again, using the IS Assessment test, we are looking at these sub-skills: 1.3.1 Modeling and design; 1.3.2 triggers, stored procedures; 1.3.3 administration.

The final program objective for the IS program at Quinnipiac University is "Understand the role of IS in Organizations". For this goal, the focus is on the IS Assessment test 2.0 organizational skills area (2.1 General Organization Theory, 2.2 Information Systems Management, 2.3 Decision Theory, 2.4 Organizational Behavior, 2.7 Managing the Process of Change, 2.8 Legal and Ethical Aspects of IS, 2.9 Professionalism, 2.10 Interpersonal Skills).

Additional Assessment factors

The ICCP IS Assessment test has been the main overall assessment factor for the Information Systems Program at Quinnipiac University. The program has used this assessment for four years and is now developing the metrics and baseline to be used in the future. Additional factors have also been used as part of the assessment process.

Senior Exit Survey

Graduating seniors have been surveyed since spring 2006. This survey is a voluntary (and anonymous) mechanism to gain the perspective of graduates. In particular, the students are asked to evaluate their skills in each of the six program objective areas listed above, plus two additional areas: Ethics in IS/IT and Global Aspects of IS/IT. Data from this survey is covered in the results section.

Other factors

The Information Systems Department has an annual meeting with a professional advisory board (as well as ongoing informal interactions with members of that board). Ideas for curricular change and program changes are part of the annual agenda. This group includes mid to upper level managers in regional companies that hire graduates – many of whom are also alumni of the program.

Assessment also has at its base the question of "What do we want students to learn?" The information systems field is dynamic. The faculty need to be able to deliver skills

and education that is appropriate to the field (for example, programming languages have changed over the years). The faculty have added external factors to the learning objectives / assessment plan that include: conference attendance (to see and here of topics that could be beneficial to the curriculum); literature (mainly curriculum models like IS2002); external changes such as School of Business changes and campus academic changes.

4. RESULTS

Data is now collected from the assessment processes. The table (below) summarizes some of the skills from the IS Assessment test for the 2004 to 2007 test years:

Skill Set 3.0 Strategic Org. Systems Develop.	04	05	06	07	Avg
3.1 Organization al Systems Development					
3.1.1 Strategic Utilization of Information Technology	37	40	46	39	40.5
3.1.2 IS	37	31	47	14	32.3
Planning 3.1.3 IT and	34	33	50	29	36.5
Org. Systems 3.1.4 Information Systems Analysis &	47	42	53	44	46.5
Design 3.1.5 Decision Making	23	22	22	17	21
3.1.6 Systems Concepts, Use of IT, Cust. Service	43	35	45	38	40.3
3.1.7 Systems Theory and Quality Concepts	43	38	43	20	36
3.2 Project Management					
3.2.1 Team Leading, Project Goal Setting	49	42	61	36	47
3.2.2 Monitor and Direct Resources and Activities	35	41	50	50	44

3.2.3 Coordinate Life Cycle	55	64	74	45	59.5
Scheduling and Planning 3.2.4 Apply	47	44	37	42	42.5
concepts of continuous					
improvement 3.2.5 Project	45	37	57	43	45.5
Schedule and Tracking Number of	29	24	11	9	(docli
Students Taking Test	29	24	11	9	(decli ning)

It is a challenge to make sense of such data. It is also interesting for this campus to track the number of individuals taking the test. Like many IS programs, our enrollment has In 2004, 29 graduating been dropping. seniors took the test; in 2005 there were 24 students taking the test; in 2006 there were 11 students taking the test; and in 2007 there were only 9 students taking the test. With fewer students taking the test, there can be a greater variance. It is also of some interest to note that in 2007 there were three seniors who did not take the test due to time conflicts - would or could have that significantly changed the results?

IS Core Area	04	05	06	07	Av g
Undergradua tes only					
Hardware	39.	38.	48.	31.	39.
and Software	6	7	0	1	35
Modern Programming Language	38. 1	37. 3	42. 2	32. 4	37. 5
Data	41.	40.	53.	41.	44.
Management	2	9	9	4	35
Networking and Telecommuni cations	39. 4	35. 1	53. 6	46. 3	43. 6
Analysis and	43.	43.	53.	40.	45.
Design	1	0	6	9	15
Role of IS in	50.	44.	58.	45.	49.
Organization	1	7	7	5	75

Likewise here is a comparison for the past four years. In this view, it might look like the results from 2006 are a bit of an outlier as the other three years (2004/ 2005/ 2007)

tended to be similar. The close alignment of the IS assessment test with the IS2002 model curricula – and with the goals and learning objectives of the Information Systems program at Quinnipiac University seem to be demonstrated.

Senior Exit Survey

A second feedback mechanism is from a survey of graduating seniors. The survey ask these graduates about their skills in the six learning objective plus two additional areas: Ethics in IS / IT and Global Aspects of IS / IT. The results from the 2006 senior surveys are presented here:

Learning Objective	2006	2007
	scores	scores
Systems Analysis	4.4	4.5
(including project		
management		
Alternative Design	3.7	3.5
Methodologies		
Programming	4.0	3.0
Languages		
Hardware and Software	4.2	4.5
Networking	3.9	3.5
Data management	4.2	4.2
IS in Organizations	4.2	4.4
Ethics in IS / IT	4.2	3.8
Global aspects of IS /	3.6	3.8
IT		

It is not a goal of this paper to thoroughly discuss the results, but to present how the assessment process was developed and implemented. Anecdotally however, the authors suggest that the learning objectives were generally reached or surpassed. Some concerns about where and how alternative design methodologies and global aspects of IS / IT can be strengthened were identified as curriculum objectives to be addressed by the faculty for the 2007-2008 academic year.

Setting Standards

Now that the campus has four years of data on the IS Assessment Test as well as two years of senior exit survey data, it is time for the department to set our standards. With assessment, setting a standard is setting a metric that can be measured and the question becomes: Did the students reach the standard? If the answer was 'they

reached the standard', the department will continue in the same vein of instruction. If the answer is 'they did not reach the standard', then the department will need to carefully review the results and make appropriate changes. A standard might be to use the average of the IS Assessment test and an average of the Senior Exit Survey for future students.

If the standard was not reached, the faculty will need to review why it was not reached. Was this a poorer class of graduating students as compared to previous classes? Did we have poor instruction in one or more classes? What could be done to change the score? Was the textbook selected particularly weak when students took that class (or classes)?

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has described an action-based research experience of the development of an assessment plan for the Information Systems Management department at Quinnipiac University. The faculty worked closely to develop the learning objectives. Then the faculty worked to find appropriate tools to assess the learning. The authors suggest that the model has worked well for feedback and program assessment. authors' experiences indicate that it is critical to establish the measurements a program will utilize and develop a process to enable those measurements to drive ongoing review and possible program change. A rigorous review program must then be followed to measure the results and determine if adjustments to the program are warranted. Additional details of the specifics are listed in the The development of the appendices. assessment plan has been completed - now is the time to set the standards and make the assessment process a reality. Gloria Rogers, the ABET director of assessment, stated "We must establish a culture of assessment, not a climate of assessment." (Rogers, 2005) Assessment must be an integral part of our community of learning in order to strive for constant and continuous improvement.

6. REFERENCES

Aasheim, C, JA Gowan, H. Reichgelt, "Establishing an Assessment Process for a

- Computing Program", Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ), 2007.
- Acharya, C, "Outcome-based Education (OBE): A new Paradigm for Learning", CDTLink, November 2003, retrieved from: http://www.cdtl.nus.edu.sg/link/nov2003/obe.htm, June 27, 2007.
- Brennan, R L. *Educational Measurement,* jointly sponsored by National Council on Measurement in Education and American Council on Education, 2006.
- Crooks, T, "The Validity of Formative Assessment", Paper presented to the British Educational Research Association Annual Conference, 2001
- Dowdell, B, "Quick Take", April 9, 2007
- Gorgone, J, G. Davis, J. Valacich, H. Topi, D. Feinstein, H. Longenecker, "IS 2002 Model Curriculum and Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Information Systems, ACM, New York, NY, AIS and AITP, 2002
- IS 2002 Model Curriculum, http://is2002.org, retrieved June 27, 2007
- IS Education, http://<u>iseducation.org</u>, retrieved June 24, 2007.
- Maki, P, "Developing an Assessment Plan to Learn about Student Learning", *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, January-February 2002
- McKell, L, J. Reynolds, H. Longenecker, J. Landry, H. Pardue, "The Center for Computing Education Research (CCER): A Nexus for IS Institutional and Individual Assessment", Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ), 2006.
- Palomba, C., T. Banta, (1999) Assessment Essentials, Jossey Bass, San Francisco
- Rogers, G, "Do Grades make the Grade for Program Assessment", ABET Quarterly News Source, Fall / Winter 2003.
- Rogers, G, Presentation handouts on assessment, given to Quinnipiac University faculty, August 2005.
- Stemler, L, C. Chamblin, "The Role of Assessment in Accreditation: A Case Study for an MIS Department", Information Systems Education Journal (ISEDJ), 2006.

- Valacich, J., Accreditation in the Information Systems Academic Discipline, White paper submit to the AIS Executive Committee, November 13, 2001.
- White, B., R. McCarthy, "What gets Measured Gets Done: Evaluating the Assessment Results of Accredited IS Programs", Journal of Informatics Education Research, April 2006.
- Wiggins, G., Educative Assessment, Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1998

Appendix A: IS2002 Body of Information Systems Knowledge (main topic areas). Note that each of the units (like 1.3 Programming Languages is broken down into 1.3.1; 1.3.2; etc.).

Body of Information Systems Knowledge

- 1.0 Information Technology
 - 1.1 Computer Architectures
 - 1.2 Algorithms and Data Structures
 - 1.3 Programming Languages
 - 1.4 Operating Systems
 - 1.5 Telecommunications
 - 1.6 Database
 - 1.7 Artificial Intelligence
- 2.0 Organizational and Management Concepts
 - 2.1 General Organization Theory
 - 2.2 Information Systems Management
 - 2.3 Decision Theory
 - 2.4 Organizational Behavior
 - 2.7 Managing the Process of Change
 - 2.8 Legal and Ethical Aspects of IS
 - 2.9 Professionalism
 - 2.10 Interpersonal Skills
- 3.0 Theory and Development of Systems
 - 3.1 Systems and Information Concepts
 - 3.2 Approaches to Systems Development
 - 3.3 Systems Development Concepts and Methodologies
 - 3.4 Systems Development Tools and Techniques
 - 3.5 Application Planning
 - 3.6 Risk Management
 - 3.7 Project Management
 - 3.8 Information and Business Analysis
 - 3.9 Information Systems Design
 - 3.10 Systems Implementation and Testing Strategies
 - 3.11 Systems Operation and Maintenance
 - 3.12 Systems Development for Specific Types of Information

Appendix B: Information Systems Management Program Coverage Matrix

Courses/ Objectives	Analysis and Design: Develop comprehendsion in the systems development life cycle, including planning, analysis, data gathering, data and process modeling, design options, construction, implementation and maintenance. Also acquire basis skills in project management techniques, controls and process.	Multiple Design Method- ologies:. Use and experience with multiple design metho- dologies (such as the System Develop- ment Life Cycle, Agile Develop- ment and Joint Application Develop- ment), and multiple system models (procedural , enterprise, data oriented and object- oriented models).	Programming Languages : As tools for system construction and modification , with an under- standing of appro- priateness for an application and the capabilities and limitations of a language	Hardware, Software and Net- working: Acquire skills in hardware and software, including different computing platforms and operating systems. This also included an under- standing of networking concepts and applications .	Data Manage- ment: Develop insight and knowledge of data manage- ment, including SQL structures and techniques; entity- relation diagrams (ERD); normal- ization and efficiency in data optimizatio n	Role of IS in Organi- zations: Achieve appreciation for the role of information systems in organi- zations, including IT for competitive advantage, value chain, enterprise resource planning (ERP); electronic business and electronic commerce; supply-chain manage- ment; and more.
Object- Oriented Programming	initial under- standing of the systems development process (Moderate)		ment of algorithmic concepts and program flow, including objects, classes and fundamental operations (Extensive)		initial use of databases in application develop- ment (light)	introduction to business development (Nominal)
ISM 210 Advanced Object- Oriented Programming	Gain additional insights to analysis and design, user interface design, construction, testing and implement- ation (Extensive)	Introductio n to multiple design method- ologies (Light)	Continued develop- ment of systems, including database applications (Extensive)		Additional knowledge and experience, including SQL statements and database. (Moderate)	Additional insight into IS for business functions (Nominal)
ISM 260 Advanced Excel and	Gain additional under-	Discussion of ERP systems	Develop- ment of macro	Introduction to some hardware	Develop insights into data	Introduction to the role of ERP systems

ERP Systems (Elective)	standing of the importance of business analytics within an organization (Nominal)	with JAD and SDLC approached (Light)	coding for spread- sheet efficiencies.	concepts for ERP systems (Light)	quality issues (Nominal)	within an organization (Moderate)
ISM 270 E-Business Systems	Development of architectures for e- business platforms (Extensive)			Under- standing of the hardware and software require- ments to develop e- business architect- ures (Moderate)	Under- standing of the data for conducting e-business; including security (Moderate)	Insight into the role of e- business within the overall information systems strategy (Moderate)
ISM 301 Hardware and Software	Some under- standing of the SDLC in acquiring hardware and software (nominal)	Understand processes for develop-ment of applications on alternative platforms (Perl on Linux) (Nominal)	Understand processes for develop- ment of applications on alternative platforms (Perl on Linux) (Nominal)	Extensive under- standing of hardware and software platforms, including processors, system software and more. (Extensive)		Develop an under-standing of how various hardware and software platforms suppose business goals for competitive advantage (nominal)
ISM 330 Networking and Telecom- munications	Develop an understandin g of the SDLC in acquiring and modifying networking (moderate)			Analysis of networking hardware and software, including routers, bridges, switches, TCP/IP and other protocols (Extensive)		Develop an under- standing of how networking aids businesses in communication (nominal)
ISM 335 Accounting Information Systems (Elective)					Some under- standing of using SQL to query (Nominal) Extensive under- standing of data controls (Extensive)	Under- standing of the role of ERP systems within an organization (Nominal)
ISM 351 Database Applications	Study of the SDLC as it applies to database and systems				Extensive study of database appli- cations and	Under- standing of how databases aid modern

	(nominal)	1	1		dovolon	business
	(nominal)				develop- ment (Extensive)	functions (nominal)
ISM 370 Systems Analysis and Design	Complete and comprehensive study of systems analysis and design from idea development through implementation and maintenance. (Extensive)	Understand multiple design method- ologies (JAD, Agile, SDLC) (Extensive)		Minor analysis of hardware / software options (nominal)	ERD / DFD diagrams for analysis and design (logical and actual). (Moderate)	Understandin g the business value of projects (cost/benefit) and business / technology fit (moderate)
ISM 381 Web Development (Elective)	Exposure to agile programming and rapid prototyping (Nominal)		Develop- ment of Web based applications using JAVA (Extensive)			
ISM 400 Emerging Technologies (Elective)						Topics vary, but include analysis of current and emerging technologies and their role in an organization (Extensive)
ISM 411 Information Systems Security (Elective)	Analysis of IT security policies and procedures (Nominal)			Analysis of hardware and software network security tools and techniques (Extensive)		
ISM 427 Design and Implement- ation of Information Systems in Emerging Environment s (Elective)	Under- standing of UML and object- oriented design (Extensive)					Under- standing of Information Systems Architecture and change management policies and procedures (Extensive)
ISM 440 Project management	Extensive under- standing of project management as it applies to the SDLC (Extensive)	Understand managing projects with multiple design method- ologies (moderate)	Understand managing application / system developmen t (moderate)		Integrating database concepts into projects (nominal)	Understandin g business / technology fit and standard operating procedures (Moderate)
ISM 484 ISM	Can vary	Can vary	Can vary	Can vary	Can vary	Understandin g of how

Internship			Information
			Technology
			supports the
			organization
			(topics vary)
			(Moderate)

Note:

Nominal Coverage = 1 to 2 weeks Moderate Coverage = 3 to 4 weeks Extensive Coverage = 5 or more weeks

APPENDIX C: Information Systems Management Program Objectives and Assessment Measures

Objectives	Assessment Measures
Analysis and design of	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test with emphasis on 3.1
information systems which	Organizational Systems Development and 3.2 Project Management
meet enterprise needs.	scores
This includes developing a	Timing: at the end of a student's senior year
comprehensive	Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM
understanding in the	faculty, ISM Advisory Board
systems development life	Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the organizational
cycle, including planning,	systems development and project management sub-scores (3.1.1
analysis, data gathering, and acquiring basic project	Strategic Utilization; 3.1.2 IS Planning; 3.1.3 IT and Organizational Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5 Decision making; 3.1.6
management, data and	Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; 3.2.1 Team Leading; 3.2.2
process modeling, design	Monitor resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate live cycle scheduling;
options, construction,	3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project Scheduling and Tracking
implementation and	External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external
maintenance skills.	input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the
Students also acquire basic	advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes
skills in project	based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state
management and project	changes and mandates.
control.	J
Use and experience with	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test with emphasis on 3.1
multiple design	Organizational Systems Development and 3.2 Project Management
methodologies (such as	scores
the System Development	Timing: at the end of a student's senior year
Life Cycle, Agile	Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM
Development and Joint	faculty, ISM Advisory Board
Application Development),	Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the organizational
and multiple system	systems development and project management sub-scores (3.1.1
models (procedural,	Strategic Utilization; 3.1.2 IS Planning; 3.1.3 IT and Organizational
enterprise, data oriented	Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5 Decision making; 3.1.6
and object-oriented	Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; 3.2.1 Team Leading; 3.2.2
models).	Monitor resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate live cycle scheduling;
	3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project Scheduling and Tracking
	External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external
	input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes
	based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state
	changes and mandates.
Experience in the use of	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test, with emphasis on 1.1
multiple programming	Software Development scores.
languages to be used as	Timing: at the end of a student's senior year
tools for system	Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM
construction and	faculty, plus report and feedback from ISM advisory board
modification, with an	Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the software
understanding of	development items ((3.1.1 Strategic Utilization; 3.1.2 IS Planning; 3.1.3
appropriateness for an	IT and Organizational Systems; 3.1.4 IS analysis and design; 3.1.5
application and the	Decision making; 3.1.6 Systems Concepts; 3.1.7 Systems Theory; 3.2.1
capabilities and limitations	Team Leading; 3.2.2 Monitor resources and activities; 3.2.3 Coordinate
of a language.	live cycle scheduling; 3.2.4 Continuous improvement; 3.2.5 Project
	Scheduling and Tracking
	. External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external
	input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the
	advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes
	based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state
Barratanana	changes and mandates.
Development of	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test with emphasis on 1.4
hardware, software and networking skills,	Systems Integration scores Timing at the end of a student's senior year
including different	Timing: at the end of a student's senior year Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM
meduling uniterent	Thurstaga Responsible. 13th Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all 15th

computing platforms and operating environments. This also includes understanding networking concepts and applications.	faculty, ISM Advisory Board Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the systems integration sub-scores (1.4.1 Computer System Hardware; 1.4.2 Networking and Telecommunications; 1.4.3 Operating Systems Management; 1.4.4 Computer Systems Software; 1.4.5 LAN/WAN; 1.4.6 Systems Configuration. External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state changes and mandates.
Understanding of data management, including SQL structures and techniques; entity-relation diagrams (ERD); normalization and data optimization.	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test with emphasis on 1.3 Database scores Timing: at the end of a student's senior year Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM faculty, ISM Advisory Board Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the database subscores (1.3.1 Modeling and design; 1.3.2 triggers, stored procedures; 1.3.3 administration. External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state changes and mandates.
Understand the role of IS in Organizations, including IT for competitive advantage, value chain, enterprise resource planning (ERP); electronic business and electronic commerce; and supply-chain management;	Methodology: Use of the IS Assessment test with emphasis on 2.0 Organizational Skills Timing: at the end of a student's senior year Individuals Responsible: ISM Chair, Assessment Coordinator, all ISM faculty, ISM Advisory Board Action Items: Make changes to courses based on the 2.0 Organizational and Professional Skills areas (10 sub-scores / sub-areas). External Action Items: Make changes to courses based on external input, such as changes in the IS model curriculum; input from the advisory board; input from conferences and academic sources; changes based on campus changes (such as core curriculum changes) or state changes and mandates.